John C. Turmel, B.Eng.,
50 Brant Ave.,
Brantford, N3T 3G7,
Tel/Fax: 519-753-5122, Cell: 226-966-4754
Email: johnturmel@yahoo.com

Tuesday May 4 2021

VIA EMAIL

Benjamin Wong
Department of Justice
Benjamin.Wong2 @ justice.gc.ca

re: John Turmel v. HMTQ T-130-21

You propose a 77-day timeline of steps for your in writing S.369 motion to strike my claim:

Canada's revised proposed schedule would be as follows:

- Service and filing of Canada's Notice of Motion and Affidavits: 15 days from the date that the Court implements this schedule
- Service and filing of the Plaintiff's Affidavits: 15 days from the date that Canada serves its Notice of Motion and Affidavits
- Cross-examinations on affidavits: 10 days from the date that the Plaintiff serves his Affidavits
- Service and filing of Canada's Motion Record: 15 days from the expiration of the time for cross-examinations, or, if the Plaintiff does not intend to serve an Affidavit or conduct cross-examinations, within 15 days of Canada being advised of this
- Service and filing of the Plaintiff's Responding Record: 15 days from the date that Canada serves its Motion Record
- Service and filing of Canada's Reply Submissions: 7 days from the date that the Plaintiff serves his Responding Record.

Your 77-day timelineis is just as unacceptable as your previous 87-day timeline when Rule 369 calls for my response in 10 days and your Reply in 4 days.

Case Management Judge Prothonotary Aylen has asked for our submission on timetable by May 5. It is obvious that I want to follow the rules and you do not. Why would you abide by the S.369 rule on timetable for the Ethier appeal motion and not on this one?

I suggest you submit your timetable and I'll submit mine and let the Prothonotary decide.

Dated at Brantford on Sunday May 4 2021.

John C. Turmel

Cc: benjamin.wong2@justice.gc.ca