

Federal Court



Cour fédérale

Ottawa, ON
K1A 0H9

March 11, 2021

Applicants

johnturmel@yahoo.com (T-130-21 and T-138-21)

treeoflifemission@yahoo.ca (T-171-21)

biafiaslemon@gmail.com (T-208-21)

pcf911@hotmail.com (T-219-21)

nathan.inniss@protonmail.com (T-212-21)

robinsonritchiewilliam@gmail.com (T-220-21)

omegawayne@gmail.com (T-221-21)

tleadley@telus.net (T-230-21)

thebraunsolution@gmail.com (T-242-21)

Respondent

All files benjamin.wong2@justice.gc.ca

Court Files

T-130-21- *TURMEL, John v Her Majesty the Queen*

T-138-21 - *TURMEL, Raymond v Her Majesty the Queen*

T-171-21 - *ETHIER, Michel Denis v Her Majesty the Queen*

T-208-21- *INNISS, Biafia v Her Majesty the Queen*

T-219-21- *BRUNET, Raymond v Her Majesty the Queen*

T-212-21 - *INNISS, Nathanael D v Her Majesty the Queen*

T-220-21- *ROBINSON-RITCHIE, William Ernest Wayne v Her Majesty the Queen,*

T-221-21- ROBINSON, Wayne Brian v Her Majesty the Queen

T-230-21- LEADLEY, Trevor J v Her Majesty the Queen

T-242-21- BRAUN, Jason F v Her Majesty the Queen

This is to advise of the following Direction of Madam Prothonotary Aylen dated March 11, 2021;

“A case management conference was held today in these 10 related files. The Plaintiffs in T-219-21 and T-221-21 were not in attendance. As was made clear in my earlier Direction, all parties are required to attend all case management conferences. Any future failure to do so may result in cost consequences or the dismissal of your proceeding.

The Court has proposed that these files be stayed pursuant to Section 50(1)(b) of the Federal Courts Act pending the final determination in T-130-21 (Mr. John Turmel’s claim). Following the determination in T-130-21 (which includes any appeals therefrom), a Plaintiff would then be entitled to request that the stay of their proceeding be lifted on the basis that they are differentially situated than Mr. Turmel. In the case of a stay, the Court would not obligate the Crown or Mr. Turmel to serve a Plaintiff with any documents related to T-130-21.

The Court requires that any Plaintiff in this group of 10 files who does not consent to a stay of their action based on the proposal above so advise the Court by no later than March 18, 2021 and provide, by that date, any submissions as to why their action should not be stayed. The Crown shall serve and file any responding submissions by no later than March 24, 2021. The Plaintiffs opposing a stay of their action shall file any reply submissions by no later than March 29, 2021.”

Yours truly,

KC
Kathy Craigie
Registry Officer