

John C. Turmel, B. Eng.,
68 Brant Ave., Brantford, N3T 3H1,
Tel/Fax: 519-753-5122, Cell: 519-209-1848
Email: johnturmel@yahoo.com

Tuesday Jan 31 2023

Ms. Chantal Carbonneau, Registrar
Supreme Court of Canada,
301 Wellington Street Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0J1
Email: registry-greffe@scc-csc.ca

Dear Registrar:

Re: TURMEL, John v His Majesty the King, File No. 40520

Please accept this letter as the Applicant's Reply for the application for leave to appeal in this matter.

Prothonotary Ayles struck the claim finding insufficient material facts necessary to satisfy the individual elements of any specific Charter infringement. Not found to be material facts were:

- 1) WHO's comparing the Covid 3.4% CFR "Case Fatality Rate" "Apple" not to Flu's known 10% CFR "Apple" but to the 100-times smaller Flu 0.1% IFR "Infection Fatality Rate" "Orange" to exaggerate the threat by a hundredfold;
- 2) WHO finding "no documented asymptomatic transmission" and testing 10 million in Wuhan to find zero transmission by asymptomatics;
- 3) CTV deleting that there had only been 166 Canadian Covid fatalities not in long-term-care;
- 4) PCR Test set too sensitive to register false positives;
- 5) CDC Death Certificate Guidelines changed to register "Death with Covid" with "Death from Covid" to hype deaths by a factor of 16. CDC reports only 6% were deaths from Covid alone.
- 6) Publication of a bogus study later withdrawn by Lancet and New England Journal of Medicine to discredit HydroxyChloroQuine HCQ and over-dosing patients to lose 25.7% in the Bill Gates Oxford Recovery test with 9.6 times the 1 gram dosage given by Didier Raoult in France's HCQ test that lost under 1%, 32 times less.

Prothonotary Ayles found that the claim was an abuse of process stating it contained bare assertions that the Defendant could not know how to answer, and was replete with lengthy diatribes, scandalous and extreme allegations.

What answer can there be to righteous allegations? To explain how our rulers were suckered by an Apple Orange mis-comparison to impose deadly restrictions?

Bare allegations are not lengthy diatribes. My written representations abide by the engineering KISS principle: Keep It Super Simple.

Showing the over-dosing Bill Gates deliberately inflicted on patients to discredit HCQ and get an Emergency Use Authorization for his vaccine was a scandalous and extreme allegation of mass murder but sadly true.

Respondent argues the proposed appeal does not raise an issue of public importance and would serve no purpose. Applicant submits exposing how Canadians were tricked into lockdowns and then coerced to take the clot shot to escape house arrest or keep their jobs is an issue of national importance, especially when pressure to take the clot shot and to punish doctors who disagree persists. It would alert Canadians that they should stop poisoning their children and themselves over a false alarm and now focus on saving themselves.

Judge Aylen ruled it would be unprecedented to declare "any" restriction unconstitutional without a specific restriction to strike down. Little comfort now that excess death and infertility have risen all around the vaccinated world but not in unvaccinated Africa where HCQ and Ivermectin were not banned. The control of governments and medical colleges to suppress treatments that work and send the infected home without treatment until they could return sick enough to intubated to death shows a genocidal psy-op of incredible evil.

All the death and destruction could have been avoided had the courts not suppressed that Covid Mortality Hyped Hundredfold was a false alarm by Apple-Orange miscomparison. But the mortality threat from the vaccine was not a false alarm. As an engineer who studied fluid mechanics, I knew that programming our cells to produce spikes would disrupt laminar flow in capillaries causing turbulence and blood clots.

On July 6 2022, CTV reported that "unknown," first listed in 2019 as a cause of death, was the top cause of death in 2021.

The Court should have set the precedent of allowing a claim for a declaration that any restriction for a false alarm was unconstitutional to be heard. Refusing to set a precedent that would have saved so many lives was an error I hope this Court does not follow.



John C. Turmel B. Eng.

cc: James Schneider, Counsel
National Litigation Sector
Department of Justice Canada
120 Adelaide Street West Suite #400
Toronto, ON M5H 1T1
Telephone: (416) 347 8754
james.schneider@justice.gc.ca