

FEDERAL COURT

Between:

Michel Denis Ethier

Appellant

Plaintiff

AND

Her Majesty The Queen

Respondent

Defendant

APPELLANT'S REPLY

1. Canada repeats that it accepts the Court's proposal to serve only one motion on a Lead Plaintiff and not the others when the Defendant's motion itself proposed to serve only one motion on a Lead Plaintiff. It was not the Court's proposal that Canada was accepting.

2. Canada argues the Order making me put in more effort to allow the Defendant to be absolved it putting in its due effort shows no palpable error.

3. Canada suggests I can put in the extra effort to get the Turmel motion to strike to ease their burden of sending me my own due copy of a motion to strike because I can get it at the Registry site. The Registry site say:

Recorded Entry Summary Information


Copies of public documents which are already in electronic format can be sent by e-mail, upon request to the Registry: fc_reception_cf@cas-satj.gc.ca. Indicate the Court File number in the subject of your email. In the text, you must clearly identify the document number and its name (this information is located in the Recorded Entry Summary column).

4. So instead of the Crown sending a copy to everyone, everyone must send an email to the Registry clerk who must send a copy of the document requested back to everyone. So instead of the Defendant CCing an email copy to all in one step, the clerk will have to send an individual email to everyone in many steps. Unless this is make-work for clerks during Covid.

5. So not only do I have to put in more effort in order to allow the Crown to be dispensed with the effort now required under the rules but the Registry clerks will have to put in more effort responding to each individual request to be emailed the document they were due.

6. Not obliging the Defendant to email a copy to all plaintiffs in order to be absolved of the sending each of us our own individual motion but obliging us to put in more effort to obtain the documentation and the Registry clerks to put in more effort to get the documentation to us is a palpable error that does not promote a more efficient resolution of the actions of the group, the stated purpose of the Order.

Dated at Sturgeon Falls Ontario on May 3 2021.



Michel Denis Ethier
65 Queen St. Apt 201A,
Sturgeon Falls, On. P2B 2C7
705-753-6057
treeoflifemissionyyahoo.ca

To: Registrar, fc_reception_cf@cas-satj.gc.ca

Cc: Benjamin.Wong2@justice.gc.ca

FEDERAL COURT

Between:

Michel Denis Ethier

Appellant

Plaintiff

AND

Her Majesty The Queen

Respondent

Defendant

APPELLANT'S REPLY

For the Appellant/Plaintiff

Michel Denis Ethier

65 Queen St. Apt 201A,

Sturgeon Falls, On. P2B 2C7

705-753-6057

treeoflifemissionyyahoo.ca